Carbohydrate Synthesis

A Double Ring-Closing Metathesis Approach for the Synthesis of β-*C*-Trisaccharides**

Maarten H. D. Postema, Jared L. Piper, Venu Komanduri, and Lei Liu*

The synthesis of *C*-glycosides, compounds in which the interglycosidic oxygen atom has been replaced by a carbon atom, has received considerable attention from both the synthetic[1] and biological[2] point of view. They comprise an important class of stable carbohydrate mimics and the debate regarding their validity as conformational mimics of the parent *O*-glycosides is ongoing.[3]

Although there have been many interesting and unique approaches to the synthesis of *C*-glycosides,[4] the preparation of *C*-saccharides,[5] whether they are *C*-disaccharides or higher homologues, has been considerably more challenging. The first *C*-trisaccharide synthesis by Kishi and co-workers[6] clearly showed that these compounds could be prepared and, since that time, several other research groups[7] have targeted these carbohydrate-based compounds for synthesis. There are two main challenges associated with the synthesis of *C*-saccharides. The first challenge is the difficulty associated in functionalizing one carbohydrate ring (or open chain) followed by its subsequent attachment to the anomeric center of a second (or more) monosaccharide unit(s). To address this, several approaches to the synthesis of a variety of differentially linked *C*-saccharides[5] have been developed and we have recently published a unified and versatile strategy for a convergent and efficient synthesis of (1→6)-β-*C*-disaccharides[8] and a variety of differentially linked β-*C*-disaccharides.[9] Our ring-closing-metathesis (RCM)[10] approach is flexible enough to deliver a wide variety of *C*-glycoside-type structures.[11] We now report that our metathesis-based approach can be used to efficiently synthesize a variety of β-*C*-trisaccharides through a highly efficient double enol ether–olefin RCM cyclization[12] that provides the products in excellent overall yield after functionalization of the newly formed double bonds.

The general approach begins with dehydrative coupling of olefin alcohol **1** with a suitable carbohydrate-based diacid such as **2** to give diester **3** (Scheme 1). Methylenation of **3** is



Scheme 1. Double RCM approach to *C*-trisaccharides. L = ligand, M = metal.

followed by a double RCM reaction to give bis-*C*-glycal **5**. Functionalization of the bis-glycal double bonds then delivers the β-*C*-trisaccharide **6**.

In our previous *C*-disaccharide work[8,9] the installation of only one acetyl group onto the pyranose ring was needed, whereas in this case the preparation of a diacetyl derivative was required. We permitted the nature of the diacid to dictate the type of chemistry that would be used for its preparation. Scheme 2 shows the use of both Wittig- and Keck-type



Scheme 2. Preparation of diacid **2a**. Bn = benzyl, NHS = *N*-hydroxysuccinimide, AIBN = azobisisobutyronitrile.

allylation chemistry for the synthesis of diacid **2a**. The primary alcohol on **7**[13] was oxidized, olefinated, and hydrogenated, which served to reduce the double bond and cleave the benzyl group, to furnish **8**. The Robins-based[14] radical precursor was then installed by using the *N*-hydroxysuccinimide method[15] and Keck allylation[16] then delivered compound **9** as the sole isomer. Two-step oxidative cleavage of the olefin in **9** to an intermediate monoacid was followed by saponification to give diacid **2a**.

We relied upon a slightly different set of reactions to prepare acid **2b** (Scheme 3). Diol **10** was selectively esterified to provide the stable keto-monophosphonate **11**, after Swern

[*] Prof. M. H. D. Postema, J. L. Piper, V. Komanduri, L. Liu
Department of Chemistry
Wayne State University
Detroit, MI 48202 (USA)
Fax: (+1) 313-577-2554
E-mail: mpostema@chem.wayne.edu

[**] We gratefully acknowledge the National Science Foundation (Grant: CHE-0132770) for support of this research. J.L.P. held a Willard R. Lenz Fellowship and a David H. Green Fellowship. We thank Dr. M. K. Ksebati (Wayne State University) for assistance with NMR spectra collection and Prof. J. Santa Lucia and L. Clos II for obtaining 700 MHz ^{1}H NMR spectra.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

 DOI: 10.1002/ange.200353478



Scheme 3. Preparation of diacid **2b**. DCC = *N*,*N*′-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide.

oxidation of the remaining secondary alcohol. Masamune–Roush olefination[17] gave an intermediate unsaturated lactone that, under a variety of different reduction conditions, gave exclusively lactone **12** possessing the *galacto* configuration at the C4 position. Methanolysis of **12**, according to the conditions of Corey et al.,[18] was followed by oxidation and Wittig reaction to form **13**. Reduction of the olefin was followed by bis-saponification to deliver the 4,6-diacid **2b** in good overall yield (Scheme 3).

Diacid **2c** was prepared by a slightly different strategy, as shown in Scheme 4. Known olefin **14**[9] was converted into α,β-unsaturated ester **15** in three steps, and conjugate reduction[19] was followed by oxidative cleavage of the remaining double bond to afford **16**. Oxidation and saponification of **16** then delivered the target diacid **2c** in good overall yield (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4. Preparation of diacid **2c**.

The stereochemistry of all the diacids, esters, and/or allylated derivatives was rigorously established by NOE, proton-decoupling and two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy experiments. In theory, the esters could be differentiated and distinctive olefin alcohols installed sequentially[20] but, in the present work, we chose to install the same olefin alcohol on each acid function. DCC-mediated coupling of diacid **2a** with two equivalents of alcohol **1a** gave diester **3a** in good yield (Scheme 5). Methylenation[21] of **3a** with an excess of the Takai reagent and subsequent RCM with the second-generation Grubbs catalyst **17**[22] (35 mol%) gave the intermediate



Scheme 5. Double RCM synthesis of β-*C*-trisaccharides. 4-DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine, TMEDA = N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylethylenediamine, Mes = β-morpholinoethanesulfonic acid, Cy = cyclohexyl, THF = tetrahydrofuran.

bis-*C*-glycal **5a**. The bis-*C*-glycal was not isolated but instead was directly subjected to hydroboration by BH_3·THF.[23] Subsequent oxidative workup then afforded the *C*-trisaccharide **6a** in 49% yield over three steps.[24]

Table 1 shows the examples that have been prepared thus far. Ester formation (**1**+**2**→**3**), mediated by DCC and 4-DMAP, proved to be routine, except for the case shown in entry 5 (Table 1). A large excess of the methylenating reagent was required for the methylenation (**3**→**4**) reactions to be driven to completion. In all the cases, 35–40 mol% of the RCM catalyst **17** was needed to achieve a complete reaction. It is noteworthy that the three-step protocol works quite well even when both groups to be cyclized are on the same side of the pyranose ring, as in **3b** (entry 2, Table 1). No evidence of other cyclized products was noted by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) or crude NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixtures. In one case (entry 8), we isolated the bis-glycal **5h**, since hydroboration gave a mixture of two inseparable products.

Table 1: Synthesis of β-*C*-trisaccharides by double RCM.[a]

Entry	Diester **3**	*C*-Trisaccharide **6**[b]
1	 **3a**: 89%	 **6a**: 49%
2	 **3b**: 84%	 **6b**: 55%
3	 **3c**: 74%	 **6c**: 57%
4	 **3d**: 88%	 **6d**: 59%
5	 **3e**: 48%[c]	 **6e**: 33%[d]
6	 **3f**: 88%	 **6f**: 50%
7	 **3g**: 87%	 **6g**: 53%
8	 **3h**: 76%	 **6h**: 57%[e]

[a] Yields refer to chromatographically homogeneous material. Yields are for three steps: methylenation, RCM (35–40 mol% of **17**), and hydroboration/oxidative workup. [b] Stereochemistry at the C1 and C2 positions was determined by acetylation and analysis of the H2 coupling constant in the ^{1}H NMR spectra. [c] A fair amount of recovered mono-1,6-ester was isolated from the reaction mixture. [d] In this case, the RCM reaction was stopped early and the bis-*C*-glycal was isolated, purified (48%, unoptimized), and then subjected to hydroboration (66%, unoptimized). [e] In this case, the yield is for two steps (methylenation and RCM) since hydroboration gave an inseparable mixture of two isomers.

The above results show that our double RCM approach for *C*-trisaccharide synthesis is viable and efficient. Application of this methodology to other congeners is currently underway and will be reported in due course.

Received: December 8, 2003
Revised: March 8, 2004 [Z53478]

Keywords: carbohydrates · cyclization · ring-closing metathesis · synthesis design · trisaccharides

[1] For reviews on *C*-glycoside synthesis, see: a) Y. Du, R. J. Linhardt, I. R. Vlahov, *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 9913–9959; b) J.-M. Beau, T. Gallagher, *Top. Curr. Chem.* **1997**, *187*, 1–54; c) F. Nicotra, *Top. Curr. Chem.* **1997**, *187*, 55–83.

[2] C. Bertozzi, M. Bednarski in *Modern Methods in Carbohydrate Synthesis* (Eds.: S. H. Khan, R. A. O. O'Neil), Harwood Academic Publishers, Amsterdam, **1996**, pp. 316–351.

[3] a) A. Wei, A. Haudrechy, C. Audin, H.-S. Jun, N. Haudrechy-Bretel, Y. Kishi, *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2160–2169; b) J. L. Asensio, J. F. Espinosa, H. Dietrich, F. J. Cañada, R. R. Schmidt, M. Martín-Lomas, S. André, H.-J. Gabius, J. Jiménez-Barbero, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 8995–9000; c) G. Rubinstenn, P. Sinaÿ, P. Berthault, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1997**, *101*, 2536–2540, and references therein.

[4] a) M. H. D. Postema, *C-Glycoside Synthesis*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, **1995**; b) D. E. Levy, C. Tang, *The Chemistry of C-Glycosides*, *Vol. 13*, Elsevier Science, Oxford, **1995**.

[5] For a review of *C*-saccharide synthesis, see: M. McKee, L. Liu, M. H. D. Postema, *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *5*, 1133–1167.

[6] T. Haneda, P. G. Goekjian, S. H. Kim, Y. Kishi, *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 490–498.

[7] For some recent synthetic approaches to *C*-trisaccharides, see: a) A. Dondoni, A. Marra, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 4067–4071; b) L. M. Mikkelsen, S. L. Krintel, J. Jiménez-Barbero, T. Skrydstrup, *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 6297–6308; c) D. P. Sutherlin, R. W. Armstrong, *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 5267–5283; d) D. P. Sutherlin, R. W. Armstrong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 9802–9803.

[8] M. H. D. Postema, D. Calimente, L. Liu, T. L. Behrmann, *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 6061–6068.

[9] M. H. D. Postema, J. L. Piper, L. Liu, J. Shen, M. Faust, P. Andreana, *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 4748–4754.

[10] For a review on the use of olefin metathesis in carbohydrate chemistry, see: M. Jørgensen, P. Hadwiger, R. Madsen, A. E. Stütz, T. M. Wrodnigg, *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *4*, 565–588.

[11] M. H. D. Postema, J. L. Piper, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1721–1723.

[12] For examples of double RCM reactions, see: a) R. H. Grubbs, G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 7324–7325; b) D. J. Wallace, P. G. Bulger, D. J. Kennedy, M. S. Ashwood, I. F. Cottrell, U.-H. Dolling, *Synlett* **2001**, *3*, 357–

360; c) D. J. Wallace, C. J. Cowden, D. J. Kennedy, M. S. Ashwood, I. F. Cottrell, U.-H. Dolling, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 2027 – 2029; d) B. Schmidt, H. Wildemann, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **2000**, 2916 – 2925; e) J. S. Clark, O. Hamelin, *Angew. Chem.* **2000**, *112*, 380 – 382; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 372 – 374, and references therein.

[13] The corresponding α anomer is known: S. Knapp, P. J. Kukkola, *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 1632 – 1636.

[14] M. J. Robins, J. S. Wilson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 932 – 933.

[15] D. H. R. Barton, J. C. Jaszberenyi, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 2619 – 2622.

[16] G. E. Keck, E. J. Enholm, J. B. Yates, M. R. Wiley, *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 4079 – 4094.

[17] M. A. Blanchette, W. Choy, J. T. Davis, A. P. Essenfeld, S. Masamune, W. R. Roush, T. Sakai, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 2183 – 2186.

[18] E. J. Corey, W.-g. Su, M. B. Cleaver, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 4181 – 4184.

[19] M. Narisada, I. Horibe, F. Watanabe, K. Takeda, *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 5308 – 5313.

[20] For example, by preparing a *tert*-butyl ester and an ethyl ester.

[21] K. Takai, T. Kakiuchi, Y. Kataoka, K. Utimoto, *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 2668 – 2670.

[22] M. Scholl, S. Ding, C. W. Lee, R. H. Grubbs, *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 953 – 956.

[23] a) S. Hanessian, M. Martin, R. C. Desai, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1986**, 926 – 927; b) R. R. Schmidt, R. Preuss, R. Betz, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 6591 – 6594.

[24] All new compounds were fully characterized by ^{1}H, ^{13}C, DEPT, GCOSY, and GHMQC NMR spectroscopy, IR spectroscopy, exact mass spectrometry, and optical rotation measurements. See the Supporting Information for further details.

